

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Barker	
Job Title (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	Ilkley	
Line 4		
Post Code	LS29 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 30.03.14

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	4.3	Paragraph	B	Policy	WD1
---------	-----	-----------	---	--------	-----

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	X
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The proposed 400 new residential units for Menston includes the existing permissions at Bingley Road and Derry Hill which accounts for approximately 340 dwellings.

The balance would be infilling within the existing settlement boundary. Potential infill sites could accommodate no more than 30 further dwellings within the settlement boundary. If all the existing permissions are developed small but significant gap between Policy target and reality.

What happens if permissions already given do not materialise? (Currently there appears to be a significant and puzzling delay in both the Bingley Road and Derry Hill developments) .

The housing target for Menston should be restated significantly lower as there are no brown field sites unlike Burley which has the advantage of a possible brown field development at Greenholme Mills.

In Menston that would require the release of Green Belt.

Housing numbers within the Local Plan Core Strategy do not reflect the reality of land availability within the District.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

With the lack of suitable alternative sites in Menston even with the release of Green Belt land the paragraph in Para B of Policy WD1 should be reworded to "Menston will see the creation of up to 30 new homes plus any new homes arising from existing permissions.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

--

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:	<input type="text"/>	Date:	<input type="text"/>
---------------	----------------------	-------	----------------------

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

